

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 535 (1997) 129-134



Synthesis and spectroscopic properties of some new bi-, tri- and tetrametallic complexes of the type $[M(CO)_5L]$, $[M(CO)_4L_2]$ and $[M(CO)_3L_3] \{M = Cr, Mo, W;$ $L = [WI_2(CO) \{Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P'\}(\eta^2-RC_2R')]$ $(R = R' = Me, Ph; R = Me, R' = Ph)\}$

Paul K. Baker *, Margaret M. Meehan

Department of Chemistry, University of Wales, Bangor, Gwynedd LL57 2UW, UK

Received 25 October 1996

Abstract

Reaction of L {L = $[\overline{WI_2(CO)}{Ph_2P(CH_2)_2}Ph(CH_2)_2Ph_2-P, P'](\eta^2-RC_2R')]$ (R = R' = Me, Ph; R = Me, R' = Ph)} with an equimolar quantity of $[M(CO)_5(NCMe)]$ (M = Cr, Mo, W) (prepared in situ) gives high yields of the bimetallic complexes $[M(CO)_5L]$ {L = $[\overline{WI_2(CO)}{Ph_2P(CH_2)_2}Ph(CH_2)_2Ph_2-P, P')(\eta^2-RC_2R')]$ (M = Cr, W, R = R' = Me; M = Cr, Mo, R = Me, R' = Ph; M = Mo, W; R = R' = Ph)} (1 \rightarrow 6). Treatment of $cis-[M(CO)_4(piperidine)_2]$ (M = Mo, W) with two equivalents of L (as above) in refluxing CH₂Cl₂ affords the trimetallic complexes $[M(CO)_4L_2]$ {L = $[\overline{WI_2(CO)}{Ph_2P(CH_2)_2}Ph(CH_2)_2Ph_2-PP'](\eta^2-RC_2R')]$ (M = Mo, W, R = R' = Me; M = W, R = Me, R' = Ph)} (7 \rightarrow 9). The cis-trans isomerism of complexes 7 and 8 has been investigated by infrared spectroscopy. Reaction of $fac-[Mo(CO)_3(NCMe)_3]$ (prepared in situ) with three equivalents of L in refluxing acetonitrile yields the tetrametallic complexes $[Mo(CO)_3L_3]$ {L = $[\overline{WI_2(CO)}{Ph_2P(CH_2)_2}Ph(CH_2)_2Ph_2-P,P'](\eta^2-RC_2R')]$ (R = R' = Me, R' = Ph)} (10 \rightarrow 12). All the new complexes have been characterised by elemental analysis (C, H and N), infrared, ¹H NMR spectroscopy and in selected cases by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. © 1997 Elsevier Science S.A.

Keywords: Triphus; Chromium; Molybdenum; Tungsten; Alkyne

1. Introduction

Although many bimetallic complexes containing a bridging bidentate phosphine such as bis(diphenylphosphino)methane have been prepared [1-12], very few examples of potentially tridentate phosphine ligands have been used to prepare bimetallic or multimetallic complexes. A recent example [13] is the use of the tripodal phosphine ligand complex $[RuCl{(PPh_2)_2}CHCH_2PPh_2-P,P']Cp]$ to form a range of multimetallic complexes such as $[RuCl{(PPh_2)_2}CHCH_2PPh_2-P,P',P'']Fe(CO)_4]$, which use the uncoordinated phosphine group.

In this paper, we describe the reactions of the organometallic monodentate phosphine ligands $[WI_2(CO){Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2Pph_2-P, P'}(\eta^2 - RC_2R')]$ (R = R' = Me, Ph; R = Me, R' = Ph) with a

series of substituted zero-valent carbonyls of chromium, molybdenum and tungsten to give new bi-, tri- and tetrametallic complexes.

2. Results and discussion

The starting materials for this research, namely $[WI_2(CO){Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P'}(\eta^2 - RC_2R')]$ (R = R' = Me, Ph; R = Me, R' = Ph) are prepared by reacting the bis(alkyne) complexes $[WI_2(CO)(NCMe)(\eta^2 - RC_2R')_2]$ with an equimolar a m o u n t of triphos {triphos = Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2} in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature [14]. An acetonitrile solution of $[M(CO)_5(NCMe)]$ (M = Cr, Mo, W), prepared in situ by reacting $[M(CO)_6]$ with one equivalent of TMNO (TMNO = ONMe_3 \cdot 2H_2O) as previously described by

^{*} Corresponding author.

⁰⁰²²⁻³²⁸X/97/\$17.00 © 1997 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved. *PII* S0022-328X(96)06934-3

Hor and coworkers [15] was added to an equimolar amount of $[WI_2(CO){Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2}PPh_2-P,P'](\eta^2-RC_2R')]$ in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature to give the bim etallic complexes $[\langle WI_2(CO){Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2}-PPh_2PPh(CH_2)_2]$

PPh₂-*P*,*P*',*P*"}(η²-RC₂R'))M(CO)₅](M = Cr, W, R = R' = Me; M = Cr, Mo, R = Me, R' = Ph; M = Mo, W, R = R' = Ph) (1 → 6) in high yield. The complexes have been characterised by elemental analysis (C, H and N) (Table 1), infrared spectroscopy (Table 2), ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Table 3) and for complexes 1, 2 and 5 by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy (Table 4).

The pentacarbonyl complexes $1 \rightarrow 6$ were stable in the solid state for several months when stored under nitrogen, but were less stable in solution. All complexes $1 \rightarrow 6$ were soluble in dichloromethane, and only sparingly soluble in chloroform and diethyl ether with the exception of 6 which was not soluble in diethyl ether.

The infrared spectra for complexes $1 \rightarrow 4$ all show three carbonyl stretching bands as expected for an $[M(CO)_5L]$ phosphine complex [16], where the $A_1^{(2)}$ and E modes exhibit an accidental or near degeneracy in the region of 1944 cm⁻¹, the $A_1^{(1)}$ band absorbing at 2070 cm⁻¹, and the B₁ band at 1989 cm⁻¹ as for

Table 1 Physical and analytical data for complexes $1-12^{a}$

 $[M(CO)_5(PPh_3)]$. However, complexes 5 and 6 show four stretching bands indicating a lifting of the degeneracy of the E mode which is therefore split [17]. The carbonyl stretching bands of the monodentate phosphine ligands [$WI_2(CO){Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P'}$ - (η^2-RC_2R')] occurring at 1959 cm⁻¹ for R = R' = Me; 1969 cm⁻¹ for R = R' = Ph and 1968 cm¹ for R = Me, R' = Ph respectively are masked by the broad absorption in the region of 1935-1975 cm⁻¹ for complexes $1 \rightarrow 6$.

The ³¹P NMR spectra of complexes 1 and 2 showed six resonances indicating the presence of two isomers in solution. This result could be predicted as the crystal structure of the monodentate phosphine ligand $[WI_2(CO){Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P'}(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)] \cdot 0.75CH_2Cl_2$ shows two independent diastereoisomers in the asymmetric unit, the free phosphine in each occupying a different orientation [14]. Therefore the ³¹P NMR spectra of the three n e w p h o s p h i n e s , n a m e l y $[WI_2(CO){Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P'}(\eta^2-RC_2-R')]$ (R = R' = Me, Ph; R = Me, R' = Ph) show five resonances consistent with two isomers in solution. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of complex 5 showed only five

Complex	Colour	Yield (%)	C (%)	H (%)
1 $[\langle WI_2(CO) \{ (Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P', P''\} (\eta^2-MeC_2Me) \rangle Cr(CO)_5]$	Green	73	42.6 (42.4)	3.1 (3.1)
2 $[\langle WI_2(CO) \{ (Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P', P''\} (\eta^2-MeC_2Me) \rangle W(CO)_5]$	Green	80	38.0 (38.3)	2.7 (2.8)
3 $[\langle WI_2(CO) \{ (Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2 \cdot P, P', P'' \} (\eta^2 \cdot MeC_2Me) \rangle Cr(CO)_5]$	Green	78	45.3 (45.0)	3.6 (3.2)
4 $[\langle WI_2(CO) \{ (Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P', P'' \} (\eta^2 - MeC_2Me) \rangle Mo(CO)_5]$	Green	80	43.7 (43.4)	3.9 (3.1)
5 $[\langle WI_2(CO) \{ (Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2 \cdot P, P', P'' \} (\eta^2 \cdot MeC_2Me) \rangle Mo(CO)_5]$	Green	80	45.3 (45.7)	3.4 (3.1)
6 $[\langle WI_2(CO) \{ (Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P', P''\} (\eta^2 - MeC_2Me) \rangle W(CO)_5]$	Green	73	43.1 (43.1)	3.1 (2.9)
7 $[\langle WI_2(CO) \{ (Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P', P'' \} (\eta^2-MeC_2Me) \rangle_2 Mo(CO)_4]$	Green	90	42.5 (42.5)	3.9 (3.4)
$ \left[\langle WI_2(CO) \left\{ \left(Ph_2 P(CH_2)_2 PPh(CH_2)_2 PPh_2 P, P', P'' \right) \left(\eta^2 - MeC_2 Me \right) \rangle_2 W(CO)_4 \right] \right] $	Green	91	41.0 (40.9)	3.7 (3.3)
9 $[\langle WI_2(CO) \{ (Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P', P''\} (\eta^2-MeC_2Me) \rangle_2 W(CO)_4]$	Green	85	44.1 (43.7)	3.9 (3.3)
10 [$\langle WI_2(CO) \{ (Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P', P'') (\eta^2-MeC_2Me) \rangle_3 Mo(CO)_3]$	Green	87	42.5 (43.0)	4.0 (3.5)
11 $[\langle WI_2(CO) \{ (Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P', P'' \} (\eta^2-MeC_2Me) \rangle_3 Mo(CO)_3]$	Green	85	45.9 (45.9)	4.1 (3.5)
12 $[\langle WI_2(CO) \{ (Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P', P'' \} (\eta^2-MeC_2Me) \rangle_3 Mo(CO)_3]$	Green	86	48.4 (48.0)	4.1 (3.5)

^a Calculated values in parentheses.

Table 2 Infrared data for complexes 1–12^a

Complex	$\nu(C\equiv 0) (\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	$\frac{\nu(C\equiv C)}{(cm^{-1})}$
1	2062s, 1935br, 1889sh	1656w
2	2125w, 2010w, 1959br	1675w
3	2063s, 1974sh, 1936br	1654w
4	2060w, 1990sh, 1974br	1 589w
5	2072s, 1989sh, 1947hr, 1860sh	1 573w
6	2066s, 1975br, 1921sh, 1852sh	1591w
7	2015s, 1950s, 1903br, 1841sh	1657w
8	2010s, 1960sh, 1891br, 1837sh	1588w
9	2010s, 1971sh, 1893br, 1841sh	1590w
10	1971br, 1957sh, 1938sh, 1893sh, 1855sh	1588w
11	1967br, 1903sh, 1850sh, 1830sh, 1814sh	1590w
12	1968br, 1942sh, 1904sh, 1836sh, 1814sh	1588w

^a Spectra recorded in $CHCl_3$ as thin films between NaCl plates. s = strong, m = medium, w = weak, sh = shoulder, br = broad.

resonances, but the signal at $\delta = -3.4$ ppm is a broad multiplet possibly formed by overlapping doublets due to the terminal phosphorus atom of each isomer coordinated to the tungsten metal centre of the pentacarbonyl complex. It is interesting to note that the chemical shifts of the pentacarbonyl phosphine complexes are comparable to those found by Grim et al. [17]. The ¹H NMR spectra are consistent with the proposed structure of these complexes (Fig. 1).

Reaction of cis-[M(CO)₄(piperidine)₂] (M = Mo, W) [18] with two equivalents of [$WI_2(CO)$ {Ph₂P(CH₂)₂PPh(CH₂)₂PPh₂-P, P'}(η^2 -RC₂-R')] in refluxing CH₂Cl₂ (15 min) gives good yields of the trim etallic com plexes [$\langle WI_2(CO)$ {Ph₂P(CH₂)₂PPh(CH₂)₂-

PPh₂-P,P',P"}(η^2 -RC₂R'))^M(CO)₄](M = Mo, W, R = R' = Me; M = W, R = Me, R' = Ph) (7 → 9). Complexes 7 → 9 have been characterised by elemental analysis (C, H and N) (Table 1), infrared spectroscopy (Table 2), ¹H

Table 3 Proton NMR data (δ) for complexes 1–12 ^a

Complex	¹ H(δ)ppm	
1	7.9–7.4 (br, 25H, Ph); 3.1, 3.0 (2s, 6H, $C_2 Me$); 2.4–2.1 (m, 8H, PhPC H_2)	
2	7.9–7.4 (br, 25H, Ph); 3.1, 2.9 (2s, 6H, $C_2 Me$); 2.7–2.6 (m, 8H, PhPC H_2)	
3	7.9–7.4 (br, 30H, Ph); 3.1, 2.9 (2s, 3H, $C_2 Me$); 2.6–2.4 (m, 8H, PhPC H_2)	
4	7.9–7.4 (br, 30H, Ph); 3.1, 3.0 (2s, 3H, $C_2^{-}Me$); 2.8–2.6 (m, 8H, PhPC H_2)	
5	7.9–7.4 (br, 35H, Ph); 2.9–2.7 (m, 8H, PhPC H_2)	
6	7.9–7.4 (br, 35H, Ph); 2.9–2.2 (m, 8H, PhPC H_2)	
7	7.9–7.3 (br, 50H, Ph); 3.15, 3.1 (2s, 12H, $C_2 Me$); 2.9–2.7 (m, 16H, PhPC H_2)	
8	7.9–7.4 (br, 50H, Ph); 3.1, 2.9 (2s, 12H, C_2Me); 2.9–2.8 (m, 16H, PhPC H_2)	
9	7.9–7.4 (br, 60H, Ph); 3.1, 3.0 (2s, 6H, $C_2 Me$); 2.8–2.6 (m, 16H, PhPC H_2)	
10	7.9–7.4 (br, 75H, Ph); 3.1, 3.0 (2s, 18H, $\tilde{C}_2 Me$); 2.9–2.7 (m, 24H, PhPC \tilde{H}_2)	
11	7.9–7.4 (br, 90H, Ph); 3.1, 3.0 (2s, 9H, C_2Me); 2.9–2.6 (m, 24H, PhPC H_2)	
12	7.9–7.4 (br, 105H, Ph); 2.9–2.2 (m, 24H, PhPC H_2)	

^a Spectra recorded in CDCl₃ (+25 °C) and referenced to SiMe₄.

s = singlet; br = broad; d = doublet; m = multiplet.



Fig. 1. Two possible isomers for complexes $[M(CO)_5L]$ {L = $[WI_2(CO)(Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2 - P, P')(\eta^2 - RC_2R')]$ (M = Cr, W, R = R' = Me; M = Cr, Mo, R = Me, R' = Ph; M = Mo, W, R = R' = Ph)} (1 \rightarrow 6).

NMR spectroscopy (Table 3) and for complex 7 by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy (Table 4). The tetracarbonyl complexes $(7 \rightarrow 9)$ are stable in the solid state for several months when stored under nitrogen and are stable for only two or three weeks in solution at -17 °C. All complexes $7 \rightarrow 9$ are soluble in dichloromethane and insoluble in diethyl ether. Complex 7 was only sparingly soluble in chloroform whereas complexes 8 and 9 were completely soluble in chloroform. This suggests that the trimetallic complexes $8 \rightarrow 9$ are surprisingly more soluble in chloroform than the bimetallic, pentacarbonyl analogues. As might be predicted all the complexes $7 \rightarrow 9$ are less soluble than complexes $1 \rightarrow 6$ in diethyl ether.

The IR spectra of complexes of the type trans- $[M(CO)_4(PR_3)_2]$ generally have three carbonyl stretching bands [16]; for example, trans- $[Mo(CO)_4(PPh_3)_2]$ has carbonyl bands at 2005 cm⁻¹ (vw), 1957 cm⁻¹ (w), and 1900 cm⁻¹ (vs), whereas cis- $[Mo(CO)_4(PPh_3)_2]$ has

Complex	³¹ P δ (ppm)
1	$49.5 \rightarrow 48.2$ brm, 1P, Cr(CO) ₅ - <i>P</i> Ph ₂ , 34.5 → 30.9 brm, 1P, Cr- <i>P</i> Ph ₂ , 21.7, 1P, W- <i>P</i> Ph, 19.7 m, 1P, W- <i>P</i> Ph, 4.1 m, 1P, W- <i>P</i> Ph ₂ , -4.0 m, 1P, W- <i>P</i> Ph ₂
2	21.7 m, ÎP, W–PPh, 19.1 m, 1P, W–PPh, 11.8 m, 1P, W(CO) ₅ –PPh ₂ , 9.7 m, 1P, W–PPh ₂ , 4.0 m, 1P, W–PPh ₂ , -4.1 m, 1P, W–PPh ₂
5	30.8 m, 1P, Mo–PPh ₂ , 28.4 m, 1P, Mo(CO) ₅ –PPh ₂ , 25.2 m, 1P, W–PPh, 19.9 brm, 1P, W–PPh, -3.4 brm, 1P, W–PPh ₂
7	$32.1 \rightarrow 28.8$ brm, Mo–PPh ₂ , $22.6 \rightarrow 19.6$ brm, W–PPh, 19.7 m, W–PPh, 4.2 m, W–PPh ₂ , $-2.2 \rightarrow -4.1$ brm, W–PPh ₂
12	$39.2 \text{ s}, \text{Mo-PPh}_2, 31.7 \rightarrow 30.0 \text{ brm}, \text{Mo-PPh}_2, 22.2 \rightarrow 18.4 \text{ m}, \text{W-PPh}, 7.1 \text{ m}, \text{W-PPh}_2, -0.02 \text{ m}, \text{W-PPh}_2$

Table 4 ³¹ P NMR data (δ) for selected complexes ^a

^a Spectra recorded in CDCl₃ (+25 °C) and referenced to H_3PO_4 .

s = singlet; br = broad; d = doublet; m = multiplet.

four strong sharp carbonyl bands at 2023, 1927, 1908 and 1897 cm⁻¹. For complex 7, the *cis*-isomer might be assigned the carbonyl bands at 2015, 1902 and 1841 cm⁻¹ with a fourth band expected in the region of 1930 cm⁻¹ being masked by the broad a b s o r p tion at 1950 cm⁻¹, due to $[WI_2(CO){Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P'}(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)]$. Cotton et al. [19] found that in the isomerisation of *cis*-[M(CO)₄LL'] \leftrightarrows *trans*-[M(CO)₄LL'], there

is a preference for the *cis*- or *trans*-isomer depending on the electronic and steric properties of L and L'. When complex **7** was refluxed in chloroform for 24 h, bands at 2020 cm⁻¹ (w), 1923 cm⁻¹ (m) and 1897 cm⁻¹ (s) were observed which are likely to be due to the *trans*-isomer; a strong absorption at 1956 cm⁻¹ was also observed which can be assigned to the carbonyl of the monodentate phosphine. The IR spectrum also indicated the presence of a very small amount of the *cis*-isomer in solution. Reflux of complex **8** in chloroform for 24 h showed a similar change of the carbonyl absorption pattern, i.e. a decrease in the intensity of the band at 2010 cm^{-1} and an increase in the intensity of the band at 1891 cm^{-1} , indicating a greater proportion of the *trans*-isomer in solution; a corresponding strong absorption at 1959 cm^{-1} was observed which can be assigned to the carbonyl group of the tungsten phosphine ligand.

The ³¹P NMR spectrum of complex 7 shows five resonances, which indicate the presence of two isomers in solution. As there are two isomers of the monodentate tungsten phosphine ligand it might be expected that at least four isomers of the tetracarbonyl product would be formed as shown in Figs. 2 and 3 resulting in 24 ³¹P NMR resonances. All the ³¹P NMR resonances of complex 7 are multiplets, and could indicate the presence of overlapping resonances due to several isomers in solution. The absence of a resonance in the region of $\delta = -13$ ppm, as expected, suggests that there was no uncoordinated phosphine present. The ¹H NMR spectra of complexes $7 \rightarrow 9$ are consistent with the proposed structures of these complexes.

Treatment of the tris(acetonitrile) complexes fac-[Mo(CO)₃(NCMe)₃] (prepared in situ [20]) with three equivalents of $[WI_2(CO){Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2}$ -P,P'(η^2 -RC₂R')] in refluxing acetonitrile for 24 h g a ve the tetram etallic complexes [$\langle WI_2(CO){Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2}$ -

 $PPh_2 - P, P', P'' = \{(\eta^2 - RC_2R')\}_3 M_0(CO)_3\}$ (R = R' = Me, Ph; R = Me, R' = Ph) (10 \rightarrow 12). All the new complexes 10 \rightarrow 12 have been characterised by elemental analysis



Fig. 2. Two possible isomers for the complexes cis-[M(CO)₄L₂] {L = [$\overline{Wl_2(CO)}$ {Ph₂P(CH₂)₂PPh(CH₂)₂PPh₂-P, P'}(η^2 -RC₂R')] (M = Mo, W, R = R' = Me; M = W, R = Me, R' = Ph)} (7 \rightarrow 9).



Fig. 3. Two possible isomers for the complexes trans- $[M(CO)_4L_2]$ {L = $[\overline{Wl_2(CO)}(Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh_(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P')(\eta^2-RC_2R')]$ (M = Mo, W, R = R' = Me; M = W, R = Me, R' = Ph)} (7 \rightarrow 9).

(C, H and N) (Table 1), infrared spectroscopy (Table 2), ¹ H NMR spectroscopy (Table 3) and for complex 12 by ³¹ P NMR spectroscopy (Table 4). The tris(carbonyl) complexes $10 \rightarrow 12$ were stable in the solid state for several months when stored under nitrogen but were less stable in solution. All complexes $10 \rightarrow 12$ were soluble in dichloromethane and chloroform and insoluble in diethyl ether. Complexes $10 \rightarrow 12$ were far less soluble in diethyl ether than complexes $1 \rightarrow 9$. It is interesting to note that these tetrametallic complexes $10 \rightarrow 12$ were more soluble in chloroform than the trior bimetallic complexes $1 \rightarrow 9$.

The infrared spectra for complexes 11 and 12 show five carbonyl stretching bands which would be consistent with the presence of the fac- and mer-isomers being present in solution [21]. For complex 11 the bands at 1967, 1904, 1850 and 1835 cm^{-1} could be assigned to the mer-isomer [22] and absorptions at 1903 and $1814 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ to the fac-isomer [21]. Similar assignments could be made for complex 12, such that carbonyl stretching bands at 1968, 1942 and 1836 cm⁻¹ could be assigned to the *mer*-isomer and 1904 and 1814 cm^{-1} could be assigned to the *fac*-isomer. The spectrum of complex 10 shows only four absorptions so it is possible for the fifth carbonyl absorption to be masked. The carbonyl stretching bands of the monodentate phosphine ligands [$WI_2(CO){Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P'}$ - $(\eta^2 - RC_2 R')$] are possibly masked by the broad absorption in the region of 1968 to $1942 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

The ³¹P NMR spectrum of complex 12 showed five resonances. The resonance at $\delta = 31.7$ ppm is a broad multiplet and could be an overlapping doublet of Mo-*PPh*₂ (coordinated), thus indicating the presence of several isomers in solution. There was no resonance in the region of $\delta = -13$ ppm, indicating the absence of free phosphine. The ¹H NMR spectra are consistent with the proposed structures of these complexes.

3. Conclusions

In conclusion the novel tungsten monodentate phosphine ligands [$WI_2(CO)$ {Ph₂P(CH₂)₂PPh(CH₂)₂PPh₂-P,P'}(η^2 -RC₂R')] (R = R' = Me, Ph; R = Me, R' = Ph) are excellent for use in the synthesis of multimetallic complexes of molybdenum and tungsten.

4. Experimental

The synthesis and purification of the new complexes $1 \rightarrow 12$ were carried out under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen using standard Schlenk line techniques. The complexes $[WI_2(CO){Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh}(CH_2)_2PPh_2$ - $P,P'\}(\eta^2$ -RC₂R')] (R = R' = Me, Ph; R = Me, R' = Ph) were prepared by the literature method [14]. All chemi-

cals were purchased from commercial sources. All solvents used were dried and distilled before use.

Elemental analyses (C, H and N) were determined using a Carlo Erba Elemental Analyser MOD 1106 (using helium as a carrier gas). Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer 1600 series FTIR spectrometer. ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC 250 NMR spectrometer. ¹H NMR spectra were calibrated against SiMe₄, and ³¹P{H} NMR spectra were calibrated against 85% H_3PO_4 .

 $\frac{4.1. \left[\langle \overline{WI_2(CO)} \{ Ph_2 P(CH_2)_2 PPh(CH_2)_2 - PPh_2 - P, P', P'' \} (\eta^2 - MeC_2Me) \rangle Cr(CO)_5 \right]}{(1)}$

To $[Cr(CO)_6]$ (0.05 g, 0.24 mmol) dissolved in NCMe (15 cm^3) was added TMNO (ONMe₃ · 2H₂O) (0.03 g, 0.24 mmol) and the solution was stirred in vacuo for 40 min. The resulting yellow solution was adsolution o f ded dropwise to а $\left[\overline{WI_2(CO)} \left\{ Ph_2 P(CH_2)_2 PPh(CH_2)_2 PPh_2 - P, P' \right\} (\eta^2 - \eta^2) \right]$ $MeC_{2}Me$] (0.25 g, 0.24 mmol) in NCMe/thf (2:1) and stirred for 2h, after which the volume was reduced to stirrin g continued for half a n d a further 24 h. The resulting green solution was filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo to give а green crystalline powder $\left[\left\langle \overline{WI_2(CO)}\left\{Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P,P',P''\right)\right\rangle\right]$ $(\eta^2 - MeC_2 Me) Cr(CO)_{s}$ (1) (yield 0.22 g, 73%), which was recrystallised from CH_2Cl_2/Et_2O 80:20 at -17 °C.

Similar reactions of $[M(CO)_5(NCMe)]$ (M = Cr, Mo or W) (prepared in situ as above) with an equimolar quantity of L {L = $[WI_2(CO){Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2}$ PPh₂-P, P')(η^2 -RC₂R')] (M = W, R = R' = Me, Ph; M = Cr, R = Me, R' = Ph; M = Mo, R = Me, R' = Ph, R = R' = Ph)} gave the bimetallic complexes $[M(CO)_5L]$ (2 \rightarrow 6).

4.2. $[\langle \overline{WI_2(CO)} \{ \mu^2 - Ph_2 \dot{P}(CH_2)_2 \dot{P}Ph(CH_2)_2 - Ph_2 - P, P^-, P^- \} \{ \eta^2 - MeC_2Me \} \geq Mo(CO)_4 \} (7)$

To cis-[Mo(CO)₄(piperidine)₂] (0.04 g, 0. 11 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 cm³) was added [Wl₂(CO){Ph₂P(CH₂)₂PPh(CH₂)₂PPh₂-P, P')(η^2 -

MeC₂Me)] (0.24 g, 0.22 mmol). After stirring the reaction mixture for 24 h, the solution was filtered and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give a green crystalline powder $[\langle WI_2(CO)\{Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P', P''\}(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)\rangle_2 Mo(CO)_4]$ (7) (yield 0.2 g, 90%), which was recrystallised from CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O 80:20 at -17 °C.

Similar reactions of cis-[W(CO)₄(piperidine)₂] with an <u>equimolar</u> quantity of {L = [$WI_2(CO)$ {Ph₂P(CH₂)₂PPh(CH₂)₂PPh₂-P, P'}(η^2 -MeC₂Me)] (R = R' = Me, R = Me, R' = Ph)} gave the trimetallic complexes [W(CO)₄L₂] (8 and 9).

A suspension of $[Mo(CO)_6]$ (0.03 g, 0.09 mmol) in degassed acetonitrile (50 cm³) was refluxed for 24 h. The resulting solution of fac-[Mo(CO)₃(NCMe)₃] was cooled to room tem perature a n d $[\overline{WI_2(CO)}{Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2}-P, P'}(\eta^2 -$ MeC₂Me)] (0.29 g, 0.27 mmol) was added, and the resulting solution refluxed for 2 h. The solution was filtered and the solvent was removed in vacuo to crystalline powder give a green $[\{\overline{WI_2(CO)}\{Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2 P, P', P''\}(\eta^2 MeC_2Me$]₃Mo(CO)₃] (10) (yield 0.28 g, 87%), which

was recrystallised from CH_2Cl_2/Et_2O 80:20 at -17 °C. Similar reactions of $[Mo(CO)_6]$ with an equim <u>o lar</u> <u>quantity</u> of L { L = $[{WI_2(CO){Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh(CH_2)_2PPh_2-P, P'}(\eta^2-RC_2R')]$ (R = R' = Ph; R = Me, R' = Ph)} gave the tetrametallic complexes $[Mo(CO)_2L_3]$ (11 and 12).

Acknowledgements

M.M. Meehan thanks the EPSRC for a research studentship.

References

 M. Olmstead, C.H. Lindsey, L.S. Brenner and A.L. Balch, J. Organomet. Chem., 179 (1979) 289.

- [2] A. Blagg, A.T. Hutton, P.G. Pringle and B.L. Shaw, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1984) 1815.
- [3] F.S.M. Hassan, D.P. Markham, P.G. Pringle and B.L. Shaw, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1985) 279.
- [4] J. Iggo and B.L. Shaw, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1985) 1009.
- [5] A. Blagg, B.L. Shaw and M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1987) 769.
- [6] G.B. Jacobsen, B.L. Shaw and M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1987) 1489.
- [7] G.B. Jacobsen, B.L. Shaw and M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1987) 1509.
- [8] S. Onaka, A. Mizuno and S. Takagi, Chem. Lett., (1989) 2037.
- [9] T.S.A. Hor and L.-T. Phang, J. Organomet. Chem., 373 (1989) 319.
- [10] T.S.A. Hor and L.-T. Phang, J. Organomet. Chem., 381 (1990) 121.
- [11] P.K. Baker and K.R. Flower, Polyhedron, 9 (1990) 2507.
- [12] P.K. Baker, M. van Kampen and D. ap Kendrick, J. Organomet. Chem., 421 (1991) 241.
- [13] N. Nawar and A.K. Smith, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 227 (1994) 79.
- [14] P.K. Baker, S.J. Coles, D.E. Hibbs, M.M. Meehan and M.B. Hursthouse, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1996) 3995.
- [15] K.-S. Gan, H.K. Lee and T.S.A. Hor, J. Organomet. Chem., 460 (1993) 197.
- [16] F.A. Cotton and C.S. Kraihanzel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84 (1962) 4432.
- [17] S.O. Grim, D.A. Wheatland and W. McFarlane, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89 (1967) 5573.
- [18] M.A. Beckett, D.P. Cassidy and A.J. Duffin, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 189 (1991) 229.
- [19] F.A. Cotton, D.J. Darensbourg, S. Klein and B.W.S. Kolthammer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 21 (1982) 2661.
- [20] D.P. Tate, W.R. Knipple and J.M. Augl, Inorg. Chem., 1 (1962) 433.
- [21] R. Poilblanc and M. Bigorgne, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., (1962) 1301.
- [22] H. Brunner and A. Stumpf, J. Organomet. Chem., 459 (1993) 139.